

No. 9/5/84-6Lab/6359.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Singh Associated Works, Ajrona More, Faridabad.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI R.N. SINGAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 399 of 1983

between

SHRI HARMUA PANDEY, WORKMAN AND THE RESPONDENT MANAGEMENT OF M/S. SINGH ASSOCIATED WORKS, AJRONDA MORE, FARIDABAD.

Present -

Shri G.S. Narula, for the management.

None for the workman.

AWARD

This reference has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana vide his order No. 1D/FD/157-83/61731-36, dated 23rd November, 1983, under section 10(i)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, for adjudication of the industrial dispute existing between Shri Harmun Pandey, workman and the respondent management of M/s Singh Associated Works, Ajronda More, Faridabad. The term of the reference was :-

Whether the termination of services of Shri Harmun Pandey was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The representative of the respondent has filed photo copy of the settlement. I, therefore, find that the workman has settled his dispute with the respondent. The award is given accordingly.

Dated 13th August, 1984.

R.N. SINGAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 1838 dated 3rd September, 1984.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

R.N. SINGAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Faridabad.

No. 9/5/84-6 Lab/6360. - In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Sakal Dev Contractor, c/o Mohindra Vikram, 15/1, Mathura Road, Faridabad.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI R.N. SINGAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 98 of 1984

between

SHRI DEEN BAHADUR, WORKMAN AND THE RESPONDENT MANAGEMENT OF
M/s SAKAL DEV CONTRACTOR, C/o MOHINDRA VIKRAM, 15/1,
MATHURA ROAD, FARIDABAD

Present -

Shri H.P. Singh, for the workman.

None for the respondent management.

AWARD

This reference has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana, vide his order No. JD-PD/43-84/19490-45, dated 17th May, 1984, under section 10(i)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, for adjudication of the industrial disputes existing between Shri Deen Bahadur, workman and the respondent management of M/s Sakal Dev Contractor, c/o Mohindra Vikram, 15/1, Mathura Road, Faridabad. The terms of the reference was :-

Whether the termination of services of Shri Deen Bahadur, workman, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The representative of the workman has made a statement that the workman has collected his full and final accounts from the respondent. He has also no right of reinstatement/re-employment.

In view of the statement of the representative of the workman, the dispute has been settled and there is no dispute between the parties. Award is given accordingly.

Dated 8th August, 1984

R.N. SINGAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 640, dated 3rd September, 1984.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

R. N. SINGAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Faridabad.

No. 9/5/84-6Lab/6377. -- In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Hastinapur Metal (P) Ltd., Kundli (Sonapat).

BEFORE SHRI B.P. JINDAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, ROHTAK

Reference No. 175 of 1983

between

SHRI MISHRI LAL MAHTO, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF
M/s HASTINAPUR METAL (P) LTD., KUNDLI (Sonapat)

Present. —

Workman in person.

Shri Partap Singh, A.R. for the management.

AWARD

1. In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute to this Court, between Shri Mishri Lal Mahto, workman, and the management of M/s Hastinapur Metal (P) Ltd., Kundli (Sonapat), for adjudication. — vide Labour Department Notification No. 57451-56, dated 28th October, 1983 :-

Whether the termination of service of Shri Mishri Lal Mahto was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

2. On receipt of the order of reference, usual notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared. It was alleged by the workman that he was appointed as Welder on 1st February, 1979, by the respondent against a permanent post, but his services were illegally terminated on 1st June, 1983, without complying with

the provisions of section 25(F) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Before a reply could be filed by the respondent, the workman made a statement in the Court that he has settled his claim with the respondent, whereunder he had received a sum of Rs. 800 in full and final settlement of his claim and as such he does not want to prosecute the reference. So in terms of the settlement arrived at between the parties, no dispute award is given. The reference is answered and returned accordingly. There is no order as to cost.

Dated 9th August 1984.

B.P. JINDAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Rohtak.

Endorsement No. 75-83/2894, dated 7th September, 1984.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

B.P. JINDAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Rohtak.

No. 9/5/84-6 Lab/6378. - In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Mahesh Textiles, Bahalgarih Road, Fazilpur, Sonapat.

BEFORE SHRI B.P. JINDAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, ROHTAK

Reference No. 40 of 1983

between

SHRI RAMESH CHANDER WORKMAN, AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S MAHESH TEXTILES,
BAHALGARH ROAD, FAZILPUR, SONEPAT

Present:-

Shri S.N. Solanki, A.R. for the workman.

Shri Surinder Kaushal, A.R. for the management.

AWARD

1. In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1977 the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute, to this Court, between Shri Ramesh Chander, workman, and the management of M/s Mahesh Textiles, Behalagarh Road, Fazilpur, Sonapat, for adjudication. - vide Labour Department Notification No. LD/20633-38, dated 3rd May, 1983 :-

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ramesh Chander was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

2. On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties as usual. The parties appeared. It is alleged that the workman was employed as a labourer by the respondent in the distant past on monthly salary of Rs. 400 but his service was illegally terminated on 17th July, 1982, without any notice or complying with the provisions of section 25(F) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

3. Before a reply could be filed by the respondent, the parties arrived at an amicable settlement, whereunder the workman received a sum of Rs. 700 in full and final settlement of his claim. An affidavit in that behalf was placed on record by the respondent/management. The learned authorised representative of the workman made a statement alleging settlement. So no dispute award is rendered. The reference is answered and returned accordingly. There is no order as to cost.

Dated 9th August 1984.

B.P. JINDAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Rohtak.

Endorsement No. 40-83/2896, dated 7th September, 1984.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

B.P. JINDAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Rohtak.